

Succession petition no.  
161/2019.

Naseem Ahmad and  
others.

vis  
State NCT of Delhi  
2025.

5/6/20

Pr. Guropreet Singh Id. cl. for  
the applicant (through V.C.).

At request adjourned.

Put up on 16/6/20 as prayed for;  
for Fr.

(Rajinder Singh)  
vacation judge  
ADJ/02  
Central Delhi

①.

Succession  
Petition.

no \_\_\_\_\_

Mohd. Zafar

vs

State & Ors.

5/6/20

Pr. Sh. M.S. Khan Id. ce for  
the petitioners.

Heard & pronounced.

Notice be issued to all the  
respondents.

Mohd. Zafar is directed to  
prepare the notices and  
send the same on the email  
address as provided in the  
petition.

Contd.

(2)

Mhd. Farhan

vs

State & Ors.

The petitioner is directed to get the notices served. In case of evasion or non-availability the respondents be served by way of affixation. The affixation be got photographed; the said photographs be filed along with service report and affidavit.

The notice be also got published in the newspaper "Statesman".

Put up on 22/6/20 for F.P.

Raj  
(Rajinder Singh)  
vacation Judge  
ADJ/02  
Central Delhi

C.S. no ~~395~~<sup>1</sup> 3695/19 &  
3696/19.

Sundeep Kumar

vs

M/S Microsoft  
Express Pvt. Ltd.

5/6/20

Pr. Sh. Vineet Gandhi I.C. Cl.  
for Plaintiff / Applicant.

The Plaintiff / Applicant has  
moved one each application  
in both the Suits for preponement  
/ early hearing of the applications  
dt 5/3/20; these applications  
dt 5/3/20 under Section 151  
C.P.C. prayed for passing a  
judgement / decree against the  
defendant, as prayed by him  
in the plaint. (sic).

805/02  
C.M.S.  
5/6/20

Contd on 2

(2)

Other two applications in both the matters w/o XV A C.P.C were also moved.

at request both the applications w/o XV A C.P.C are adjourned for the date fixed in the main suit.

Hearings on the applications MS 151 CPC seeking postponement of the applications at 5/3/20.

It is submitted that the defendant has not paid rent since October 2019. Despite service the defendant did not file the written Statement. These are two separate tenancies with the same tenant. The applications at 5/3/20 have been adjourned for 10/8/20; which is a long date.

Contd on 3.

1005102  
contd  
5/6/20

(3)

Further Id. cl. for the plaintiff/  
applicant repeated the facts  
already mentioned in the  
applications.

Specific court query was  
put to the Id. cl. for the plaintiff/  
applicant as to what is the  
urgency in the matter?

In response Id. cl.  
repeated the aforementioned  
Submissions.

### Reasons for order

In para no 7. of the application  
it is stated that the plaintiff moved  
an application MC 151 C.P.C. for  
striking off the defence of the  
defendant due to non-filing of  
the written statement.

2  
1705/02  
central  
5/6/20

Case on 4

(4)

Notice of this application was issued to the defendant for 25/3/20. However due to COVID-19/lockdown, on 25/3/20 the applications could not be heard. The same were adjourned for 10/8/20.

It is not the case of the plaintiff that the rent of the shops is his only source of income or that due to non-receipt of rent he is facing grave financial hardship.

The suit of the plaintiff is a normal routine suit for recovery of possession, arrears of rent and mesne profits. There is no element of urgency either in the original suit or these present applications.

Cated on 5.

AC  
A05/02  
centrat  
5/6/20

(5)

There is nothing much to write about these applications. The plaintiff has failed to bring out any case of urgency in his favour.

The applications seeking pre payment are dismissed. No further order is required to be passed by this Court in these matters.

5/6/20.

Raj  
(Rajinder Singh)  
Vacation Judge  
ADJ/02  
Central Delhi