

FIR No. 277/2020
U/s 188 IPC
PS Mundka

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL1MA3664**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Applicant / registered owner is present in person.

IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL1MA3664** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Copy received

मोहित कुमार गुप्ता

FIR No. 188/2020
U/s 188/269 IPC & 3 EPIDEMIC DISEASE ACT, 1897
PS MOTI NAGAR
State Vs. SUBHASH CHAND GUPTA

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL1LT-8258**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL1LT-8258** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.

मोहित शर्मा
12/5/2020


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 9364/2020
U/s 411/34 IPC
PS Moti Nagar
State Vs. Ashwani

12.05.2020


Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Shri Ajay Sinha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused Ashwani.

Vide this order I will dispose off the application u/s 437 Cr.P.C. for extension of the bail move on behalf of accused. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the accused has been granted interim bail for the period of 45 days on 01.04.2020. It is further submitted that now the Hon'ble High Court has passed the judgment for further extending the interim bail for another 45 days. It is further submitted that in view of outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic, it is not safe to keep the accused in JC and the bail of accused may be extended for 45 days.

Heard. In view of the above facts and circumstances taking into consideration the orders in writ Petition (C) No. 1/2020, in RE; Contagion of COVID-19 Virus in Prisons and Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP(C) 2945/2020, interim bail of the accused is extended for a further period of 45 days subject to the conditions already imposed.

Copy of this order be sent to Jail Superintendent through dispatch rider deputed in this court by the jail authority. Copy of this order be given dasti as prayed for.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Copy Received
AJ
Adv
12/5/2020

person and been falsely implicated in the
the police officials of P.S.

FIR No. 0130/2020
U/s 279/338 IPC
PS Paschim Vihar
State Vs. Kshitiz S/o Naresh

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL3SBR7620**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Applicant / registered owner is present in person.

IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in CrI. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL3SBR7620** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Copy Rec'd
12/5/20

FIR No. 358/2020
U/s 279/337 IPC
PS PASCHIM VIHAR WEST
State Vs. MANISH KUMAR

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL-3CBB-4045**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Applicant / registered owner is present in person.

IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the reply that investigation is pending and mechanical inspection of the vehicle is not done.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL5CG0066** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court. IO is directed to get the mechanical inspection of the vehicle in question done before releasing the vehicle to the applicant.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

copy received
V. K. K. K.
D-1842/07
(497/000296)

CC No. 3974/2019
U/s 138 NI Act

12.05.2020

Present:- Shri V.K. Dham, Manager of the complainant company.


Shri Chetan Wahi, Advocate for accused.

Present application has been filed by the accused seeking deferment of payment of installments for the month of May, June and July, 2020 payable as per the Mediation Settlement dated 29.10.2019.

Complainant has agreed for deferment of payment of installment for the month of May, 2020. Separate statement of complainant and counsel for accused recorded.

Application is allowed in terms of the statement of complainant and counsel for accused. Payment of installment for the month of May, 2020 is deferred.

Copy of order be given dasti to the parties.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Received
Chetan Wahi
for accused.
12/05/2020.

FIR No. 57/2020
U/s 356/379/34 IPC
PS Hari Nagar
State Vs. Kishan Rai

12.05.2020

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Shri Manoj Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused Kishan Rai.


Vide this order, I will dispose off the bail application move on behalf of accused. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the accused is in JC since 23.02.2020. It is further submitted that accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that in view of outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic, it is not safe to keep the accused in JC any further and that the accused be granted interim bail in the present case.

Heard. Reply of IO perused as per which accused has refused to participate in TIP proceedings and co-accused is Ankit @ Pahari is still absconding. It is further submitted that accused Krishan Rai does not have any permanent address in Delhi and he may abscond. It is further submitted that accused has committed heinous offence. It is prayed that bail application of the accused may be dismissed.

In view of above facts and circumstances taking into consideration the orders in writ Petition (C) No. 1/2020, in RE; Contagion of COVID-19 Virus in Prisons and Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP(C) 2945/2020, interim bail is granted to the accused for a period of 45 days from the date of his release from custody, on furnishing personal bond in sum of Rs. 10,000/- to the satisfaction of the jail Superintendent concerned subject to the following conditions:


1. That he shall not indulge into similar offence or any offence in the event of release on bail.
2. That he shall not tamper with the evidences in any manner.
3. That in case of change of his residential address, he shall intimate the court about the same, and
4. That he shall regular appear before this court on each and every date of hearing.
5. That he will surrender before the authorities concerned after the expiry of 45 days from the day of release.

Accused be released from JC if not required in any other case. Accused be released after adress verification is done by the IO to the satisfaction of the Jail



11-05-2020

Superintendent. Copy of this order be sent to Jail Superintendent through rider deputed in this court by the jail authority. Copy of this order be given as prayed for.



(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Received copy
of Kishan Rai
Gangh

FIR No. 172/2020
U/s 188 IPC
PS Paschim Vihar
State Vs. Shivam Grover

12.05.2020

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Shri Sidharth Bambha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused Shivam Grover.


Present application has been filed seeking modification of order dated 08.05.2020 the FIR number and police station have been typed incorrectly. Copy of the seizure memo of vehicle, reply of IO to the superdari application and order of Ld. M.M./Duty M.M. dated 08.05.2020 perused.

Heard. Record perused.

In view of the submissions made the application is allowed. Order dated 08.05.2020 passed by Ld. Duty M.M. Ms. Neha Pandey in FIR No. 172/2019 , PS Hari Nagar, is modified and the same order should be considered to have been passed in case FIR No. 172/2020, PS Paschim Vihar East.

Copy of order be given dasti to counsel for the accused.

Py Decided

12/05/20


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 126/2020
U/s 419/420 IPC & 66C/66D I.T. Act
PS Moti Nagar
State Vs. Vivek Mishra

12.05.2020


Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Shri U.M. Tripathi and Anupam Mishra, Ld. Counsels for the applicant/
accused Vivek Mishra.

Vide this order I will ^{dispose}~~dispose~~ off the application u/s 437 Cr.P.C. for extension of the bail move on behalf of accused. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the accused has been granted interim bail for the period of 45 days on 30.03.2020. It is further submitted that now the Hon'ble High Court has passed the judgment for further extending the interim bail for another 45 days. It is further submitted that in view of outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic, it is not safe to keep the accused in JC and the bail of accused may be extended for 45 days.

Heard. In view of the above facts and circumstances taking into consideration the orders in writ Petition (C) No. 1/2020, in RE; Contagion of COVID-19 Virus in Prisons and Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP(C) 2945/2020, interim bail of the accused is extended for a further period of 45 days subject to the conditions already imposed.

Copy of this order be sent to Jail Superintendent through dispatch rider deputed in this court by the jail authority. Copy of this order be given dasti as prayed for.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Copy received
Ld. APP
12/5/20

FIR No. 151/2020
U/s 188 IPC
PS PASCHIM VIHAR WEST
State Vs. UNKNOWN

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL4SCX1118**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL4SCX1118** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.

COPY RECEIVED
AMIT KUMAR
12/5/20


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 159/2020
U/s 188 IPC
PS MUNDKA
State Vs. UNKNOWN

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL4SCW0249**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL4SCW0249** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.

my
Leves
Amirullah
amirullah
12/5/20


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 172/2020
U/s 279/337 IPC
PS PUNJABI BAGH

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **HP17B6216**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in CrI. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **HP17B6216** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Copy received
12/1/20


5. That the applicant will not do any alteration in the said vehicle along with documents without order or permission of this Hon'ble Court.

Applicant

FIR No. 182/2020
U/s 304A/279 IPC
PS PUNJABI BAGH
State Vs. SHANTI PRASHAD JAIN

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL5CG0066**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in CrI. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL5CG0066** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.

Dasti order issued.
12/05/2020
Satyawer


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 184/2020
U/s 188 IPC
PS PASCHIM VIHAR EAST

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL11CA7502**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL11CA7502** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Dast more Recd

12/05/20

FIR No. 108/2020
U/s 188 IPC
PS MUNDKA

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **HR-55M-5637**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in CrI. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **HR-55M-5637** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.

Copy raised
ambalal
19/5/20


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

condition of vehicle will be deteriorated.

FIR No. 0149/2020
U/s 188 IPC
PS Paschim Vihar West
State Vs. Sarabjit Singh

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL-1RU-0135 (TSR)**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL-1RU-0135 (TSR)** be released to the applicant on furnishing **security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle**. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.

सर्वोपरीत/प्रति,


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

वारे - 11-5-20

NPM/CMY
78387298

Counsel +

9953809

FIR No. 010874/2020
U/s 379 IPC
PS PATEL NAGAR

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL-10SG7439**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Applicant / registered owner is present in person.

IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL-10SG7439** be released to the applicant on furnishing **security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle**. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.



(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Dasti order
Received
Tanjia
12/5/20
9899148014

applicant undertakes to produce the said
vehicle before the police authority as well

FIR No. 0327/2020
U/s 188 IPC
PS PASCHIM VIHAR WEST

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL4SDG2343**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / registered owner is present in person.

IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in CrI. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL4SDG2343** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.


Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.

24
ved
AmiTK
15/20

Received
under copy
12.05.20

PS
Prabhu Singh


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 0246/2020
U/s 188/269 IPC & 3 Epidemic Disease Act
PS Punjabi Bagh
State Vs. Vaibhav Gupta

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL3CCS 3531**.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL3CCS 3531** be released to the applicant on furnishing security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.

Cops Received
D. D. Sharma
12.05.2020


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 70/2020
U/s 302/120B IPC & 25/27 Arms Act
PS Ranhola
State Vs. Sachin Narwal

12.05.2020

This is an application for releasing vehicle No. **DL9CQ6373 (SANTRO CAR)**.
Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Applicant / registered owner is present in person.


IO has filed his reply. Taken on record. IO has stated in the application that he has no objection if the vehicle is released to the applicant.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the vehicle in question bearing registration number **DL9CQ6373 (SANTRO CAR)** be released to the applicant on furnishing **security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle**. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchanama and shall also take the photographs of the vehicle from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as well as by the accused and the person to whom the vehicle is released. The said panchanama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given dasti to applicant.

Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.


12/5/2020


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 166/2017
PS Crime Branch, Chanakya Puri
U/s 170/120-B/419/468/471 IPC
State Vs. Sukash

12.05.2020

Present: None.


Present matter is listed for hearing through video-conferencing.

Due to lack of prior communication, online meeting through Cisco Webex could not be fixed.

The undersigned has to proceed to the Vulnerable Witness Deposition Room for recording three Section 164 Cr.P.C. statements. It is already 4:00 PM and thus, it would not be possible to convene an online hearing today.

In view thereof, the matter is adjourned for 13.05.2020.

Ahlmad is directed to inform regarding the order to the concerned Lawyer through phone/SMS and also to inform the L.d. Duty M.M. on duty for 13.05.2020 in advance regarding the application to be heard through Video-Conferencing.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

CC No. 2910/2018
U/s 138 NI Act.
Saravjit Singh Vs. Sampatti Developer

12.05.2020

Present: None.


Present matter is listed for hearing through video-conferencing.

Due to lack of prior communication, online meeting through Cisco Webex could not be fixed.

The undersigned has to proceed to the Vulnerable Witness Deposition Room for recording three Section 164 Cr.P.C. statements. It is already 4:00 PM and thus, it would not be possible to convene an online hearing today.

In view thereof, the matter is adjourned for 13.05.2020.

Ahlmad is directed to inform regarding the order to the concerned Lawyer through phone/SMS and also to inform the Ld. Duty M.M. on duty for 13.05.2020 in advance regarding the application to be heard through Video-Conferencing.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

CC No. 13192/2018
PS Hari Nagar

12.05.2020

Present: None.


Present matter is listed for hearing through video-conferencing.

Due to lack of prior communication, online meeting through Cisco Webex could not be fixed.

The undersigned has to proceed to the Vulnerable Witness Deposition Room for recording three Section 164 Cr.P.C. statements. It is already 4:00 PM and thus, it would not be possible to convene an online hearing today.

In view thereof, the matter is adjourned for 13.05.2020.

Ahlmad is directed to inform regarding the order to the concerned Lawyer through phone/SMS and also to inform the Ld. Duty M.M. on duty for 13.05.2020 in advance regarding the application to be heard through Video-Conferencing.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

CC No. 12020/18
PS Patel Nagar
Saravjit Singh Vs. Sampatti Developer

12.05.2020

Present: None.


Present matter is listed for hearing through video-conferencing.

Due to lack of prior communication, online meeting through Cisco Webex could not be fixed.

The undersigned has to proceed to the Vulnerable Witness Deposition Room for recording three Section 164 Cr.P.C. statements. It is already 4:00 PM and thus, it would not be possible to convene an online hearing today.

In view thereof, the matter is adjourned for 13.05.2020.

Ahlmad is directed to inform regarding the order to the concerned Lawyer through phone/SMS and also to inform the Ld. Duty M.M. on duty for 13.05.2020 in advance regarding the application to be heard through Video-Conferencing.



(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

CC No. 6430/17
PS Khayala
Navin Kumar Vs. Sampatti Developer

12.05.2020

Present: None.


Present matter is listed for hearing through video-conferencing.

Due to lack of prior communication, online meeting through Cisco Webex could not be fixed.

The undersigned has to proceed to the Vulnerable Witness Deposition Room for recording three Section 164 Cr.P.C. statements. It is already 4:00 PM and thus, it would not be possible to convene an online hearing today.

In view thereof, the matter is adjourned for 13.05.2020.

Ahlmad is directed to inform regarding the order to the concerned Lawyer through phone/SMS and also to inform the Ld. Duty M.M. on duty for 13.05.2020 in advance regarding the application to be heard through Video-Conferencing.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 486/2020
U/s 356/379/411 IPC
PS Khayala
State Vs. Sanjay @ Pammy

12.05.2020


Present: Ld. APP for the State.
Shri A.K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for the applicant/ accused Sanjay @
Pammy.

Vide this order I will dispose off the bail application move on behalf of accused. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the accused is in JC since 09.05.2020. It is further submitted that in view of outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic, it is not safe to keep the accused in JC any further and that the accused be granted interim bail in the present case.

Heard. Reply of IO perused as per which accused is also involved in other criminal cases and if admitted to bail he will again commit the offence.

In view of submissions made, I am not inclined to grant bail to the accused at this stage considering the previous involvement of accused in offences of similar nature and likelihood of accused repeating the offence if released on bail. Hence, the bail application of the accused Sanjay @ Pammy is dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti as prayed for.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 348/2020
U/s 308 IPC
PS Tilak Nagar
State Vs. Anil Kumar

12.05.2020

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Ld. counsel for the applicant/ accused Anil Kumar.

Vide this order, I will dispose off the bail application move on behalf of accused. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the accused is in JC since 08.05.2020. It is further submitted that accused has been falsely implicated in the present case.

Heard. Reply of IO perused as per which the investigation of the case is at very initial stage and there is probability that the accused can influence the victim. It is further submitted that offence is heinous in nature.

In view of submissions made and the fact that the case is at the very initial stage and investigation of case is pending, I do not consider it proper to admit the accused on bail at this stage. Hence, the bail application of the accused Anil Kumar is dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti as prayed for.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Recieveed copy
[Signature]

FIR No. 69/2020
U/s 356/379/411 IPC
PS Maya Puri
State Vs. Akshay

12.05.2020

Present: Ld. APP for the State.
None for the applicant/accused.

Reply of Jail Superintendent perused. The relevant portion is as follows:-

"In this regard it is submitted that as per record accused Akshay S/o Vishnu is presently facing trial in two cases. The release order of accused in subject cited case was received in this office on 03.05.2020. However, he could not be released from this jail as case FIR No. 145/2020, U/s 356/379/411/34 IPC, PS Palam Village is still pending against him. The matter is fixed for 18.05.2020."

In view of the said reply, the present application stands disposed off.


Copy of order be given dasti. Order be uploaded on the district court website


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 241/2020
U/s 392/34 IPC
PS Nihal Vihar
State Vs. Nikhil S/o Ramesh Kumar

12.05.2020

Present: Ld. APP for the State.
Counsel for the applicant.
Report of Jail Superintendent perused.
IO is directed to file the copy of FIR.
Put up for consideration on 14.05.2020.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 0379/2019
U/s 25 Arms Act
PS Mundka
State Vs. Dhanji S/o Shiv Charan Gupta

12.05.2020

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Shri A.K. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused Dhanjee.

Vide this order, I will dispose off the bail application move on behalf of accused. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the accused is in JC since 18.10.2019. It is further submitted that accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that in view of outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic, it is not safe to keep the accused in JC any further and that the accused be granted interim bail in the present case.

Heard. Reply of IO perused as per which accused does not have any permanent address in Delhi and he may abscond. It is prayed that bail application of the accused may be dismissed.

In view of above facts and circumstances taking into consideration the orders in writ Petition (C) No. 1/2020, in RE; Contagion of COVID-19 Virus in Prisons and Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP(C) 2945/2020, interim bail is granted to the accused for a period of 45 days from the date of his release from custody, on furnishing personal bond in sum of Rs. 10,000/- to the satisfaction of the jail Superintendent concerned subject to the following conditions:

1. That he shall not indulge into similar offence or any offence in the event of release on bail.
2. That he shall not tamper with the evidences in any manner.
3. That in case of change of his residential address, he shall intimate the court about the same, and
4. That he shall regular appear before this court on each and every date of hearing.
5. That he will surrender before the authorities concerned after the expiry of 45 days from the day of release.


Accused be released from JC if not required in any other case. Accused be released after address verification is done by the IO to the satisfaction of the Jail Superintendent. Copy of this order be sent to Jail Superintendent through dispatch

filed

SH

HC Jogendra
NO. 858/20
PS Mundka
07 11/5-20

rider deputed in this court by the jail authority. Copy of this order be given dasti as prayed for.



(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

FIR No. 58/2020
U/s 394/397/459/34 IPC & 25/27/54/59 Arms Act
PS Mundka
State Vs. Sombir

12.05.2020

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Shri Harshvardhan Sharma, Ld. counsel for the applicant/ accused
Sombir.

Vide this order I will ^{dispose} ~~depose~~ off the bail application move on behalf of accused. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the accused is in JC since 13.02.2020. It is further submitted that in view of outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic, it is not safe to keep the accused in JC any further and that the accused be granted interim bail in the present case.

Heard. Reply of IO perused as per which offence committed by the accused/applicant is grave and serious in nature and in the event of grant of bail there is every possibility of threatening the complainant/witnesses and influence the witnesses. It is further submitted that possibility of jumping the bail cannot be ruled out.

In view of submissions made and the fact that the accused is involved in grave and serious offence, I do not consider it proper to admit the accused on bail at this stage. Hence, the bail application of the accused Sombir is dismissed.

Copy of this order be given dasti as prayed for.


(Mohit Sharma)
Duty MM/West/Delhi
12.05.2020

Received Gpy
Harsh. (Adv.)
12/5/20